



Digital Strategies for Heritage (DISH) 2013

2 & 3 December 2013, De Doelen Rotterdam

Chefs' Table session

Table Number: 2

Table Session: 3

Table Host: Sašo Zagoranski

Title: How to connect thousands of cultural institutions into one network for reuse?

Introduction

This session will bring together experts from different stakeholder groups - system vendors, aggregators, GLAM representatives - to discuss the challenges that are involved in contributing data to Europeana.

Is it possible to connect and reuse data from different collection management systems? How to overcome the obstacles creative industries are facing when reusing the content? What is the role of CMS's vendors in connecting cultural institutions into a network for reuse?

These are some of the questions that we will try to answer in this interesting session that will bring together experts from different stakeholder groups: system vendors, aggregators and GLAM representatives.

Discussion

Saso will start with a short presentation about the Europeana Inside project
<http://www.europeana-inside.eu/home/index.html>

This project deals with contributing data to Europeana more easy.

Europeana Inside

The project to create the missing link between cultural institutions and Europeana.
One of the goal is to bridge the gap between Europeana and smaller institutions.

- * Simplify the proces of getting data into Europeana
- * Creative reuse of data in eg. apps
- * Create an incentive for institutions to contribute by enriching the data

The ECK Europeana Connection Kit will be the main deliverable of the project.
It can connect CMS to aggregators & vendors can integrate it in there systems.
And give enriched (meta)data back to the institutions.

Impact

partnership between CMS-vendors & cultural institutions.

making it more easy to contribute for small & medium institutions

Chiara of The European Library points out that there is a lot of documentation about TEL.

Reinier tried to integrate AAT in Europeana but that did not go.

This table has a lot of people who are involved on the data providers.

Most dutch institutions contribute through RCE, so the aggregator structure.

XML dumps go via OAI-PMH to RCE.

Fedora (Dans & UVA), Cardbox, TMS.

Fedora is open-source community software. Trifork is a company in the Netherlands that works with.

Are CMS-vendors supportive enough or do you also experience blackbox software or even a vendor lock-in?

Should Europeana require that software vendors support re-use & exports of (meta)data.

Discussion about the aggregator structure (that deliver a lot of mapping & validating) services vs the software solution.

Is EDM too complicated too render by the CMS of the vendors?

Open standards like OAI-PMH and protocols are more important than implementing exportformats like eg. EDM is a remark.

How can a smaller institutions contribute to europeana if it has a vendor lock-in?

Content re-use.

Research institutes promote open data. Individual researchers are more protective.

The commercial reuse is still somewhat more difficult.

The institutions are very open for enriched data to come back.

Like classifications.

Pilot with wikimedia from dutch museum does not generate a lot of enriched metadata.